

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MAX RUHLMANN, et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiff(s),)	Case No. 2:14-cv-00879-RFB-NJK
)	
vs.)	
)	ORDER
GLENN RUDOLFSKY,)	
)	
Defendant(s).)	(Docket No. 91)

Pending before the Court is an amended joint proposed discovery plan, filed on August 10, 2016. Docket No. 91. On June 2, 2016, the Court entered a scheduling order in this matter. Docket No. 75. The parties' present filing essentially asks the Court to extend certain deadlines set forth in that scheduling order. *See, e.g.*, Docket No. 91 at 2.

A scheduling order may be modified only for good cause and with the Court's consent. Fed.R.Civ.P. 16(b)(4). Requests to modify the Court's scheduling order must include: (1) a statement specifying the discovery completed; (2) a specific description of the discovery that remains to be completed; (3) the reasons why the remaining discovery was not completed within the time limits set by the discovery plan; and (4) a proposed schedule for completing all remaining discovery. *See* LR 26-4.

//

//

1 The parties' amended joint proposed discovery plan fails to comply with the requirements of
2 Local Rule 26-4. Accordingly, it is **DENIED**.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: August 11, 2016



5
6 NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge